



**Pennsylvania Coalition for Civil Justice Reform 2022  
Candidate Questionnaire**

**Position and/or District Number:**

PA House of Representatives  
Dist # 54

**Candidate Name (print)**

Allen Arthur Anderson

**Party**

Republican

**Candidate**

**Signature**

Allen A. Anderson

**Date**

08/04/2022

1. Does Pennsylvania's legal climate encourage the establishment of businesses in Pennsylvania and their continued presence in the state?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_  
cided \_\_\_\_\_

No  \_\_\_\_\_

Unde

2. COVID-19 has ravaged the Commonwealth for two years. It has devastated businesses, particularly restaurants and nursing homes. All businesses open to the public are exposed to liability for COVID transmission even if the business has complied with public health directives. Our health care facilities have been overwhelmed by the influx of COVID patients resulting in non-essential services being

curtailed. Governor Wolf vetoed legislation that would have provided temporary and targeted COVID related liability relief to the business and health care communities. Will you support legislation providing temporary and targeted COVID liability relief to businesses, health care facilities and practitioners, and manufacturers of personal protective equipment?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

Undecided \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

3. Pennsylvania's Fair Share Act became law in 2011. Since then, defendants in civil cases have been required to pay only their fair share of a verdict, where before, a defendant could possibly be held responsible for an entire verdict even if only 1 percent at fault! Over the course of time however, Pennsylvania Appellate Courts have handed down decisions that have weakened the Act and strayed from original legislative intent. The high court has found that the apportioning of fault in the Fair Share Act does not apply to strict liability asbestos cases (*Roverano v. John Crane, Inc.*). The Superior Court also determined recently that the act only applies when a plaintiff is found to be without any negligence at all (*Spencer v. Johnson*). Will you support legislation to restore the original legislative intent of the Fair Share Act?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

Undecided \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

4. A False Claims Act encourages bounty hunters, known as "qui tam" plaintiffs, to sue on behalf of the government when they have information that a business has received government payment for which it is not entitled. The qui tam plaintiff keeps a significant portion of any verdict and thus has an economic incentive to bring lawsuits. Pennsylvania already recovers funding under the federal False Claims Act for any false claims made against the state, yet there are those trying to adopt a state False Claims Act that would divert even more money recovered to the

bounty hunting qui tam plaintiff.

Will you oppose any legislation containing a state False Claims Act?  
Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_  
Undecided \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

5. Venue shopping is when an attorney files suit in a county known for its high verdicts even though the case has little or no connection to the county. One such high verdict location in Pennsylvania is Philadelphia. Verdicts are known to be so high in Philadelphia that the American Tort Reform Association has listed Philadelphia as one of the nation's worst Judicial Hellholes for several years in a row. The current medical liability venue rule requires cases to be filed where the cause of action arises. The Supreme Court is considering a proposal to rescind the current medical liability venue rule and replace it with one that will allow venue shopping to run rampant again in Pennsylvania.

A. Do you support requiring medical liability cases to be filed in the county in which the injury took place which is consistent with the current statutory and rule requirement?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_  
Undecided \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

B. Will you support a constitutional amendment to limit venue for all civil tort cases in Pennsylvania to the county where the cause of action arose? Limiting venue to the county where the cause of action arose will prevent businesses from being dragged into the high verdict Philadelphia court system, unless the cause of action arose in Philadelphia.

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_  
Undecided \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

C. In the alternative, will you support a constitutional amendment that removes exclusive authority of the Supreme Court to determine venue and allow the

legislature to set venue rules and policy?

Yes  \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_  
Undecided \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: