

Pennsylvania Coalition for Civil Justice Reform 2018 Candidate Questionnaire

Senate District #

28

Judith Higgins

Judith Higgins	Senate District #28 or
Candidate Name (Print)	House District #
	Party: Democratic
	07/02/2018
Candidate Signature	Date
represent the Commonwealth in civil o	ealth of Pennsylvania will contract with outside law firms to cases. These law firms will charge a contingency fee for their centage of any recovery in the lawsuit.
such as is found in HB 502? TIPAC imp the Commonwealth. This allows the C	on known as Transparency in Private Attorney Contracting (TIPAC), oses limits on contingency fees to outside counsel representing ommonwealth to maintain control of the litigation and ensures the benefit of the litigation, as opposed to the lawyers trying the
Xyes	noundecided
Comments:	

2. Long term care facilities, such as nursing homes, are under attack by out of state law firms. These firms target Pennsylvania nursing homes because there is no limit on punitive damages under Pennsylvania law. Punitive damages allegations are used to drive up the value of a lawsuit and force settlement, regardless of whether the long-term care facility has done anything wrong. Consequently, long term care facilities settle nearly all suits brought against them.

Will you support and vote for legislation to limit punitive damages for long term care facilities such as nursing homes? Legislation such as HB 1037 will limit punitive damages to 250 percent of compensatory

damages to law.	provide nursing h	nomes protection sir	milar to that pr	ovided to doctors under Pennsylvania
x	yes		no _	undecided
Comments:				
responsibilit asbestos exp	ry for an injured poosure are often cts. These asbest	person's exposure to bankrupt, but have	the product. established tru	es even though they had little or no The companies most responsible for ests to compensate those exposed to nd separate claims must be filed to
requiring att	torneys represen hey either have o	ting plaintiffs in an a	nsbestos injury inst? This will	on, such as that found in HB 238, case to reveal to the court all bankruptcy restore fairness by allowing the court to asbestos exposure.
x	yes		no	undecided
Comments:				
medicine. T is unable to	hey must make o provide a comple	uick decisions wher	n taking care of due to the seve	not found elsewhere in the practice of the seriously injured. Often the patient crity of injury. Emergency room fability.
doctors such emergency i	n as HB 1366? HE	3 1366 raises the bu	rden of proof in nvincing" evide	ection from liability for emergency room namalpractice case against an ence of a grossly negligent act in order to
x_	yes		no	undecided
Comments:				

5. Pennsylvania does not have a Statute of Repose for products. A Statute of Repose prevents what could otherwise amount to a form of unlimited liability of the seller or manufacturer of a product, by recognizing that products have a limited useful lifespan.

HB 258 requires that personal injury suits be brought within 15 years of the date of delivery of a product, or the date of completion of a part added to the product, unless the injury does not appear within the 15-year period. Will you support and vote for legislation such as HB 258 to create a Statute of Repose for products in Pennsylvania?

x	yes	no	undecided		
Comments:					
government w is not entitled incentive to b any false clain would divert e	when they have info . The qui tam plaint ring lawsuits. Penns ns made against the even more money re rt passage of a state	rmation that a business has receive tiff keeps a significant portion of ar sylvania already recovers funding u	n" plaintiffs, to sue on behalf of the ed government payment for which it by verdict and thus has an economic under the federal False Claims Act for adopt a state False Claims Act that i tam plaintiff. undecided		
7. Venue shopping is when an attorney files suit in a county known for its high verdicts even though the case has little or no connection to the county. One such high verdict location in Pennsylvania is Philadelphia. Verdicts are known to be so high in Philadelphia that the American Tort Reform Association named Philadelphia the Number 5 "Judicial Hellhole" in the nation. Will you support efforts to limit venue for all civil tort cases in Pennsylvania to the county where the cause of action arose? Limiting venue to the county where the cause of action arose will prevent parties					
	agged into the high	verdict Philadelphia court system,			
x	yes	no	undecided		
Comments:					
order to stay of today, the abi	collection while a ca lity to afford an app	se is on appeal. With huge multi-n	percent of a verdict or judgment in nillion-dollar verdicts being common all but the wealthiest of parties. This er court.		
Will you support efforts to limit the amount of money a defendant must post in order to appeal an unjust or incorrect verdict?					
x	yes	no	undecided		
Comments:					

9. The Unfair Trade Practices Act and Consumer Protection Law provides for a minimum recovery of \$100 per claim. This means that actual damages of a few cents (such as a supermarket scanner error of a few cents) results in damages being awarded for \$100 instead of a few cents. In addition, each proven claim is awarded the minimum amount of \$100 and these claims can be aggregated to create class action lawsuits. These "statutory" or minimum damages requirements penalize Pennsylvania businesses well in excess of the actual amount of any damages. The statute also allows these damages to be tripled.						
Do you support legislation to raise the minimum amount of damages, also called statutory damages, for suits brought under Pennsylvania's Unfair Trade Practices/Consumer Protection Law from the current \$100 to \$500 as found in HB 475?						
X	no	undecided				
10. The federal courts had interpreted Pennsylvania's Consumer Protection Act to preclude out of state consumers from suing in-state businesses over an out of state transaction or occurrence. The federal courts reasoned that the legislature did not intend for out of state consumers to be protected by Pennsylvania's Consumer Protection statute in this situation. Recently, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, in the <u>Danganan</u> decision, declined to follow the interpretation of the federal courts and held for the first time that an out of state consumer could sue a Pennsylvania company under the Pennsylvania Consumer Protection Act for an out of state transaction or occurrence.						
Will you support and vote for legislation that will prevent out of state consumers from suing in state companies for causes of action or transactions that occurred out of state?						
Xyes	no	undecided				
Comments:						